Approximately 6% of barley production is within an area experiencing drought.
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Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
Percent of United States Barley Located in Drought

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Approximately **12%** of corn production is within an area experiencing drought.
Percent of Corn Located in Drought
November 22, 2016

Percent in Moderate Drought (D1)
Percent in Severe Drought (D2)
Percent in Extreme Drought (D3)
Percent in Exceptional Drought (D4)

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
Percent of United States Corn Located in Drought

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Cotton Areas in Drought

Reflects November 22, 2016

U.S. Drought Monitor data

Approximately 44% of cotton production is within an area experiencing drought.

Major and minor agricultural areas are delineated using NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data. Drought areas are identified using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Percent of Cotton Located in Drought
November 22, 2016

Percent in Moderate Drought (D1) Percent in Severe Drought (D2)
Percent in Extreme Drought (D3) Percent in Exceptional Drought (D4)

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
Percent of United States Cotton Located in Drought

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Approximately 61% of peanut production is within an area experiencing drought.
Percent of Peanuts Located in Drought
November 22, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Percent (D1)</th>
<th>Percent (D2)</th>
<th>Percent (D3)</th>
<th>Percent (D4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Georgia (50)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama (10)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida (9)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas (9)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina (7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina (7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas (2)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi (2)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
Percent of United States Peanuts Located in Drought

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Approximately **91%** of rice production is within an area experiencing drought.

Major and minor agricultural areas are delineated using NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data. Drought areas are identified using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Percent of Rice Located in Drought
November 22, 2016

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
Percent of United States Rice Located in Drought

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Sorghum Areas in Drought

Reflects November 22, 2016
U.S. Drought Monitor data

Approximately 42% of sorghum production is within an area experiencing drought.

Major and minor agricultural areas are delineated using NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data. Drought areas are identified using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Percent of Sorghum Located in Drought
November 22, 2016

Percent
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Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
Percent of United States Sorghum Located in Drought

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Approximately 18% of soybean production is within an area experiencing drought.

Major and minor agricultural areas are delineated using NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data. Drought areas are identified using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
### Percent of Soybeans Located in Drought
#### November 22, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Percent in Moderate Drought (D1)</th>
<th>Percent in Severe Drought (D2)</th>
<th>Percent in Extreme Drought (D3)</th>
<th>Percent in Exceptional Drought (D4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State contributions to national production** (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Percent of United States Soybeans Located in Drought

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Approximately 14% of sunflower production is within an area experiencing drought.
Percent of Sunflowers Located in Drought
November 22, 2016

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
Percent of United States Sunflowers Located in Drought

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Approximately 24% of durum wheat production is within an area experiencing drought.
Percent of Durum Wheat Located in Drought
November 22, 2016

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
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Percent of United States Durum Wheat Located in Drought

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Spring Wheat Areas in Drought

Reflects November 22, 2016
U.S. Drought Monitor data

Approximately 2% of spring wheat production is within an area experiencing drought.
Percent of Spring Wheat Located in Drought
November 22, 2016

Percent in Moderate Drought (D1)
Percent in Severe Drought (D2)
Percent in Extreme Drought (D3)
Percent in Exceptional Drought (D4)

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Winter Wheat Areas in Drought

Reflects November 22, 2016
U.S. Drought Monitor data

Approximately 23% of winter wheat production is within an area experiencing drought.

Major and minor agricultural areas are delineated using NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data. Drought areas are identified using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Percent of Winter Wheat Located in Drought
November 22, 2016

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
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Arkansas (1)
California (1)
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Pennsylvania (1)
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Percent in Moderate Drought (D1)
Percent in Severe Drought (D2)
Percent in Extreme Drought (D3)
Percent in Exceptional Drought (D4)
Percent of United States Winter Wheat Located in Drought

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Hay Areas in Drought

Reflects November 22, 2016

U.S. Drought Monitor data

Approximately 33% of hay acreage is within an area experiencing drought.
Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
Approximately 19% of alfalfa hay acreage is within an area experiencing drought.
Percent of Alfalfa Hay Located in Drought
November 22, 2016

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
Percent of United States Alfalfa Hay Located in Drought

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Hog Areas in Drought

Reflects November 22, 2016
U.S. Drought Monitor data

Approximately 6% of the hog inventory is within an area experiencing drought.

Major and minor agricultural areas are delineated using NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data. Drought areas are identified using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Percent of Hogs Located in Drought
November 22, 2016

Percent in Moderate Drought (D1)
Percent in Severe Drought (D2)
Percent in Extreme Drought (D3)
Percent in Exceptional Drought (D4)

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
Percent of United States Hogs Located in Drought

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Cattle Areas in Drought

Reflects November 22, 2016
U.S. Drought Monitor data

Approximately 30% of the cattle inventory is within an area experiencing drought.

Major and minor agricultural areas are delineated using NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data. Drought areas are identified using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Percent of Cattle Located in Drought
November 22, 2016

Percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
Percent of United States Cattle Located in Drought

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Approximately 36% of the milk cow inventory is within an area experiencing drought.
Percent of Milk Cows Located in Drought
November 22, 2016

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.

State Contributions to National Production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Percent in Moderate Drought (D1)</th>
<th>Percent in Severe Drought (D2)</th>
<th>Percent in Extreme Drought (D3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California (18)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin (13)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York (7)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho (6)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania (6)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas (6)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan (5)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota (5)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio (3)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington (3)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona (2)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado (2)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana (2)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa (2)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas (2)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida (1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia (1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois (1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky (1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland (1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri (1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska (1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon (1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota (1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah (1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont (1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia (1)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

State Percentages:
- California: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Wisconsin: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- New York: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Idaho: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Pennsylvania: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Texas: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Michigan: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Minnesota: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Ohio: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Washington: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Arizona: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Colorado: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Indiana: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Iowa: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Kansas: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Florida: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Georgia: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Illinois: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Kentucky: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Maryland: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Missouri: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Nebraska: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Oregon: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- South Dakota: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Utah: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Vermont: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- Virginia: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
- United States: 100% in Moderate Drought (D1)
Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Sheep Areas in Drought

Reflects November 22, 2016
U.S. Drought Monitor data

Approximately 26% of the sheep inventory is within an area experiencing drought.

Major and minor agricultural areas are delineated using NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data. Drought areas are identified using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.
Percent of Sheep Located in Drought
November 22, 2016

Percent in Moderate Drought (D1)
Percent in Severe Drought (D2)
Percent in Extreme Drought (D3)
Percent in Exceptional Drought (D4)

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product. State contributions to national production (percentages in parentheses) are derived from NASS 2017 Census of Agriculture data.
Percent of United States Sheep Located in Drought

Drought percentages are approximated using the U.S. Drought Monitor product.